arXiv:1610.03719v1 [math.PR] 12 Oct 2016

BACKWARD STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH YOUNG DRIFT

JOSCHA DIEHL AND JIANFENG ZHANG

Abstract. We prove via a direct fixpoint argument the well-posedness of backward stochastic differential equations containing an additional drift driven by a path of finite p-variation with $p \in [1, 2)$. An application to the Feynman-Kac representation of semilinear rough partial differential equations is given.

1. Introduction

Stochastic differential equations (SDEs) driven by Brownian motion W and an additional deterministic path η of low regularity (so called "mixed SDEs") have been well-studied. In [GN08] the wellposedness of such SDEs is established if η has finite q-variation with $q \in [1, 2)$.[1] The integral with respect to the latter is handled via fractional calculus. Independently, in [Die12] the same problem is studied using Young integration for the integral with respect to η. Interestingly, both approaches need to establish (unique) existence of solutions via a Yamada-Watanabe theorem. A direct proof using a contraction argument is not obvious to implement.

For paths of q-variation with $q \in (2, 3)$ integration has to be dealt with via the theory of rough paths. Motivated by a problem in stochastic filtering, [CDF13] give a formal meaning to the mixed SDE by using a flow decomposition which seperates the stochastic integration from the deterministic rough path integration. It is not shown that the resulting object actually satisfies any integral equation.

In [DOR15] well-posedness of the corresponding mixed SDE is established by first constructing a joint rough path "above" W and η. The determinstic theory of rough paths then allows to solve the mixed SDE. The main difficulty in that work is the proof of exponential integrability of the resulting process, which is needed for applications. In [DFS14] these results have been used to study linear "rough" partial differential equations via Feyman-Kac formulae.

Backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) were introduced by Bismut in 1973. In [Bis73] he applied linear BSDEs to stochastic optimal control. In 1990 Pardoux and Peng [PP90] then considered non-linear equations. A solution to a BSDE with driver f and random variable $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ is an adapted pair of processes (Y, Z) in suitable spaces, satisfying

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r)ds - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \leq T.$$

Key words and phrases. Rough paths theory, Young integration, BSDE, rough PDE.

This research was partially supported in part by the DAAD P.R.I.M.E. program and NSF grant DMS 1413717. Part of this work was carried out while the first author was visiting the University of Souther California, and he would like to thank Jin Ma and Jianfeng Zhang for their hospitality.

[1] See Section 4 for background on the variation norm and Young integration.

Under appropriate conditions on f and ξ they showed the existence of a unique solution to such an equation. One important use for BSDEs is their application to semilinear partial differential equations. This "nonlinear Feynman-Kac" formula is for example studied in [PP92].

In this work we are interested in showing wellposedness of the following equation

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_t^T g(Y_r)d\eta_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r. \tag{1}$$

Here W is a multidimensional Brownian motion, η is a multidimensional (determinstic) path of finite q-variation, $q \in [1, 2)$ and ξ is a bounded random variable, measurable at time T.

Such equations have previously been studied in [DF12]. In that work η is even allowed to be a rough path, i.e. every $q \geq 1$ is feasible. The drawback of that approach is that no intrinsic meaning is given to the equation, that is a solution to (1) is only defined as the limit of smooth approximations. In the current work we solve (1) directly via a fixed point argument. The resulting object solves the integral equation, where the integral with respect to η is a pathwise Young integral.

In Section 2 we state and prove our main result. In Section 3 we give an application to partial differential equations. In Section 4 we recall the notions of p-variation and Young integration.

2. Main result

We shall need the following spaces.

Definition 1. For $p > 2$ define $\mathcal{B}_p$ to be the space of adapted process $Y : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ with[2]

$$\|Y\|_{p,2} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t,\omega} \mathbb{E}_t \left[||Y||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \right]^{1/2} + \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\omega} |Y_T| < \infty.$$

Denote by BMO the space of all progressively measurable $Z : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with

$$\|Z\|_{BMO} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t,\omega} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^T |Z_r|^2 dr \right] < \infty.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $T > 0$, $\xi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Let $q \in [1, 2)$ and $\eta \in C^{0,\text{q-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$. Assume $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, satisfies for some $C_f > 0$, $\mathbb{P}-a.s.$,*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |f(t, 0, 0)| < C_f$$
$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z')| \leq C_f \left(|y - y'| + |z - z'| \right).$$

Let $g_1, \dots, g_e \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $p > 2$ such that $1/p + 1/q > 1$.

(i) There exists a unique $Y \in \mathcal{B}_p, Z \in \mathsf{BMO}$ such that

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_t^T g(Y_r)d\eta_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \tag{2}$$

where the $d\eta$ integral is a well-defined (pathwise) Young integral.

[2]The space $C^{\text{p-var}}([t, T], \mathbb{R})$ and the norm $||\cdot||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}$ are recalled in Section 4.

(ii) If, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$Y_t^i = \xi_i + \int_t^T f_i(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i)ds + \int_t^T g(Y_s)d\eta_s - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s,$$

and $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2$, $f_1 \leq f_2$. *Then* $Y^1 \leq Y^2$.

(iii) The solution mapping

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T) \times C^{\text{q-var}}([0,T], \mathbb{R}^e) &\to \mathcal{B}_p \times \mathsf{BMO} \\ (\xi, \eta) &\mapsto (Y, Z), \end{aligned}$$

is locally uniformly continuous.

(iv) Fixing f, g *there exists for every* $M > 0$ *a* $C(M) > 0$ *such that for* $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{F}_T$ *with* $||\xi||_\infty, ||\xi'||_\infty, ||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]} < M$ *we have for the corresponding solutions* $(Y, Z), (Y', Z')$

$$|Y_0 - Y_0'| \leq C(M)\mathbb{E}[|\xi - \xi'|^2]^{1/2}.$$

Remark 3. *The refined continuity statement in (iv) will be imporant for our application to rough PDEs in Section 3.*

Proof. For $R > 0$ define

$$B(R) := \{(Y, Z) : \|Y\|_{p,2} < R, ||Z||_{\mathsf{BMO}} < R\}.$$

For $Y \in \mathcal{B}_p, Z \in \mathsf{BMO}$ define $\Phi(Y, Z) := (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})$, where

$$\tilde{Y}_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_t^T g(Y_r)d\eta_r - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_r dW_r.$$

This is well-defined as usual in the BSDE literature (see for example [PP90]), by setting

$$\tilde{Y}_t := \mathbb{E}[\xi + \int_t^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_t^T g(Y_r)d\eta_r|\mathcal{F}_t],$$

and letting $\tilde{Z}$ be the integrand in the Itô representation of the martingale

$$\tilde{Y}_t + \int_0^t f(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_0^t g(Y_r)d\eta_r$$

In what follows $A \lesssim B$ means there exists a constant $C > 0$ that is independent of η, ξ such that $A \leq CB$. The constant is bounded for $||g||_{C_b^2}, C_f$ bounded.

Unique existence on small interval

We first show that for T small enough, Φ leaves a ball invariant, i.e. for T small enough, R large enough

$$\Phi(B(R)) \subset B(R).$$

Let $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \Phi(Y, Z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} || \int_t^\cdot f(r, Y_r, Z_r) dr ||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} &\leq || \int_t^\cdot f(r, Y_r, Z_r) dr ||_{\text{1-var};[t,T]} \\ &\leq \int_t^T |f(r, Y_r, Z_r)| dr \\ &\lesssim \int_t^T |f(r, 0, 0)| dr + T ||Y||_{\infty;[t,T]} + \int_t^T |Z_r| dr \\ &\lesssim T + T ||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + T|Y_T| + \int_t^T |Z_r| dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Using the Young estimate (Theorem 7 in the Appendix) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} || \int_t^\cdot g(Y_r) d\eta_r ||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} &\leq || \int_t^\cdot g(Y_r) d\eta_r ||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]} \\ &\lesssim \left(1 + ||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}\right) ||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality for p-variation ([FV10, Theorem 14.12]) gives

$$\mathbb{E}_t[|| \int_t^\cdot \tilde{Z}_r dW_r ||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}] \lesssim \mathbb{E}_t[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr]. \tag{5}$$

Now the $d\eta$ integral satisfies the usual product rule, so together with Itō's formula we get

$$\tilde{Y}_t^2 = \xi^2 + 2 \int_t^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r) \tilde{Y}_r dr + 2 \int_t^T g(Y_r) \tilde{Y}_r d\eta_r - \int_t^T 2 \tilde{Y}_r \tilde{Z}_s dW_r - \int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr.$$

By Lemma 9 (again in Appendix below)

$$\begin{aligned} ||g(Y)\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} &\leq ||g||_\infty ||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + ||g(Y)||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} ||\tilde{Y}||_{\infty;[t,T]} \\ &\leq ||g||_\infty ||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + ||Dg||_\infty ||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \left(||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + |Y_T| \right) \\ &\lesssim ||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + ||\tilde{Y}||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking conditional expectation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\tilde{Y}_t^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_s|^2 ds \right] &\lesssim \mathbb{E}_t[\xi^2] + \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^T \left(|f(r, 0, 0)| + |Y_r| + |Z_r| \right) |\tilde{Y}_r| dr \right] \\ &\quad + ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} \left(1 + \mathbb{E}_t \left[||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var}} + ||\tilde{Y}||^2_{\text{p-var}} \right] + R^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_t\left[\int_t^T \left(|f(r,0,0)| + |Y_r| + |Z_r|\right)|\tilde{Y}_r| dr\right] \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{E}_t\left[\int_t^T |f(r,0,0)|^2 + |Y_r|^2 + |Z_r|^2 + |\tilde{Y}_r|^2 dr\right] \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{E}_t\left[\int_t^T |f(r,0,0)|^2 dr\right] + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[||Y||_\infty^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_t\left[\int_t^T |Z_r|^2 dr\right] + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[||\tilde{Y}||_\infty^2\right] \\
&\lesssim 1 + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[||Y||_{\text{p-var}}^2 + |Y_T|^2\right] + R + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var}}^2 + |\tilde{Y}_T|^2\right] \\
&\lesssim 1 + TR^2 + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[\xi^2\right] + R + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var}}^2\right] + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[\xi^2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate trivially

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t\left[||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}^2\right] \lesssim\ & \mathbb{E}_t\left[||\int_t^\cdot f(r,Y_r,Z_r)dr||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_t\left[||\int_t^\cdot g(Y_r)d\eta_r||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}^2\right] \\
&+ \mathbb{E}_t\left[||\int_t^\cdot \tilde{Z}_r dW_r||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}^2\right],
\end{aligned}$$

which we can bound, using (3), (4) and (5), by a constant times

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^2 + T^2\mathbb{E}_t\left[||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}^2\right] + T^2\mathbb{E}_t\left[\xi^2\right] + (1+T)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr\right] \\
&\qquad + \left(1 + \mathbb{E}_t\left[||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}^2\right]\right)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}^2 \\
&\lesssim T^2 + T^2R^2 + T^2\mathbb{E}_t\left[\xi^2\right] + (1+T)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr\right] \\
&\qquad + \left(1+R^2\right)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_t\left[\tilde{Y}_t^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_t\left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_s|^2 ds\right] \\
&\lesssim \left(1+T+T^2\right)\mathbb{E}_t[\xi^2] + 1 + TR^2 + R + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var}}^2\right] \\
&\quad + ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}}\left(1 + \mathbb{E}_t\left[||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var}} + ||\tilde{Y}||_{\text{p-var}}^2\right] + R + R^2\right) \\
&\lesssim \left(1+T+T^2\right)\mathbb{E}_t[\xi^2] + 1 + TR^2 + R \\
&\quad + T\left\{T^2 + T^2R^2 + T^2\mathbb{E}_t\left[\xi^2\right] + (1+T)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr\right] + \left(1+R^2\right)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}^2\right\} \\
&\quad + ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} \\
&\quad \times \Bigg(1 + \Big\{T + TR + T\mathbb{E}_t\left[\xi^2\right]^{1/2} + T^{1/2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr\right]^{1/2} + (1+R)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]} \\
&\qquad + T^2 + T^2R^2 + T^2\mathbb{E}_t\left[\xi^2\right] + T\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr\right] + \left(1+R^2\right)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}^2\Big\} + R + R^2\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

Using $|a| \leq 1 + |a|^2$ and picking $T > 0$ such that $T + T^2 \leq 1/2$ we get,

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_s|^2 ds \right] \leq c \left(1 + F(T) \left(R + R^2 \right) \right),$$

with $F(T) \to 0$, as $T \to 0$ (here we use that $||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]} \to 0$ for $T \to 0$).

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[||\tilde{Y}||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \right]^{1/2} \lesssim T + TR + T\mathbb{E}_t \left[\xi^2 \right]^{1/2} + T^{1/2} \left(1 + F(T) \left(R + R^2 \right) \right) + (1 + R) \, ||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]},$$

which can be made smaller than $R/2$ by picking first R large and then T small. So indeed the ball stays invariant.

We now show that for T small enough, Φ is a contraction on $B(R)$. So let $(Y, Z), (Y', Z') \in B(R)$ be given. Note that, since $Y_T = Y'_T$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t - Y'_t| &= \mathbb{E}_t[|Y_t - Y'_t|] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_t[||Y - Y'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_t[||Y - Y'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\omega} ||Y(\omega) - Y'(\omega)||_\infty \leq \|Y - Y'\|_{p,2}.$$

Let $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \Phi(Y, Z), (\tilde{Y}', \tilde{Z}') = \Phi(Y', Z')$. Using the Young estimate (Theorem 7) and Lemma 8 (in Appendix below) we have for some constant c that can change from line to line

$$\begin{aligned} ||\tilde{Y} - \tilde{Y}'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \leq cT||Y - Y'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + c \int_t^T |Z_r - Z'_r| dr + ||Y - Y'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} \\ + c \left(1 + ||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \right) ||Y - Y'||_\infty ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} + ||M - M'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \int \tilde{Z} dW, M' = \int \tilde{Z}' dW$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t[||\tilde{Y} - \tilde{Y}'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]^{1/2} &\leq c\mathbb{E}_t[||Y - Y'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]^{1/2} \left(T + ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} \right) + cT^{1/2}\mathbb{E}_t[\int_t^T |Z_r - Z'_r|^2 dr]^{1/2} \\ &\quad + c \left(\mathbb{E}_t[||Y||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]^{1/2} \right) \sup_\omega ||Y(\omega) - Y'(\omega)||_\infty ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} \\ &\quad + c\mathbb{E}_t[||M - M'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]^{1/2} \\ &\leq cT^{1/2}\mathbb{E}_t[\int_t^T |Z_r - Z'_r|^2 dr]^{1/2} + c \left(T + ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} \right) \|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} \\ &\quad + c \left(1 + R \right) ||\eta||_{\text{q-var}} \|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} + \mathbb{E}_t[\int_t^T (\tilde{Z}_s - \tilde{Z}'_s)^2 ds]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So for T small enough

$$\|\tilde{Y} - \tilde{Y}'\|_{p,2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} + \|Z - Z'\|_{BMO} \right] + \|\tilde{Z} - \tilde{Z}'\|_{BMO}.$$

On the other hand

$$(\tilde{Y}_t - \tilde{Y}'_t)^2 = 2\int_t^T \left[(f(Y_s, Z_s) - f(Y'_s, Z'_s))(Y_s - Y'_s)\right] ds + 2\int_t^T \left[(g(Y_s) - g(Y'_s))(\tilde{Y}_s - \tilde{Y}'_s)\right] d\eta_s$$
$$- 2\int_t^T \left[(\tilde{Y}_s - Y'_s)(Z_s - Z'_s)\right] dB_s - \int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_s - \tilde{Z}'_s|^2 ds.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|| \left(g(Y) - g(Y')\right) \left(Y - Y'\right) ||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \\
&\lesssim ||g(Y) - g(Y')||_\infty ||Y - Y'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + ||g(Y) - g(Y')||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} ||Y - Y'||_\infty \\
&\lesssim ||Y - Y'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + (1 + ||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}) ||Y - Y'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \\
&\lesssim (1 + R) ||Y - Y'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the Young integral is bounded by a constant times $||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}(1 + R)||Y - Y'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}$. We estimate the Lebesgue integral as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_t^T \left[(f(Y_s, Z_s) - f(Y'_s, Z'_s))(Y_s - Y'_s)\right] ds \right| &\lesssim \int_t^T \left(|Y_s - Y'_s| + |Z_s - Z'_s|\right) |Y_s - Y'_s| ds \\
&\lesssim T\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) ||Y - Y||_\infty + \lambda \int_t^T |Z_s - Z'_s|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

So, after taking conditional expectation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t[\int_t^T |\tilde{Z}_s - \tilde{Z}'_s|^2 ds]^{1/2} \lesssim T^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{1/2} &\mathbb{E}_t[||Y - Y'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]^{1/2} + \lambda \mathbb{E}_t[\int_t^T |Z_r - Z'_r|^2 dr]^{1/2} \\
&+ ||\eta||^{1/2}_{\text{q-var}} (1 + R)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}_t[||\tilde{Y} - \tilde{Y}'||^2_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{Z} - \tilde{Z}'\|_{BMO} \leq T^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{1/2} &\|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} + \lambda \|Z - Z'\|_{BMO} \\
&+ ||\eta||_q (1 + R)^{1/2} \|Y - Y'\|_{p,2}
\end{aligned}$$

Picking λ small, then T small, we get

$$\|\tilde{Z} - \tilde{Z}'\|_{BMO} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} + \frac{1}{4}\|Z - Z'\|_{BMO}$$

Define the modified norm

$$|||Y, Z||| := \|Y\|_{p,2} + 2\|Z\|_{BMO}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|||\tilde{Y} - \tilde{Y}', \tilde{Z} - \tilde{Z}'||| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} + \|Z - Z'\|_{BMO}\right] + \|\tilde{Z} - \tilde{Z}'\|_{BMO} + \frac{1}{2}\|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} + \frac{1}{2}\|Z - Z'\|_{p,2} \\
&= \frac{3}{4}\|Y - Y'\|_{p,2} + \frac{7}{4}\|Z - Z'\|_{BMO} \\
&\leq \frac{7}{8}|||Y - Y', Z - Z'|||.
\end{aligned}$$

We hence have a contraction and thereby existence of a unique solution on small enough time intervals.

Continuity on small time interval
This follows from virtually the same argument as the contraction mapping argument.

Comparison on small time interval
Let $C_B > 0$ be given, and pick $T = T(C_B)$ so small that the BSDE is well-posed for any f, g with $||g||_{C_b^2}, C_f < C_B$ and any $\eta \in C^{\text{q-var}}, \xi \in \mathcal{F}_T$ with $||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]}, ||\xi||_\infty < C_B$.

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_T$ be given with $||\xi_1||_\infty < C_B$ and $\eta \in C^{\text{q-var}}$ with $||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]} < C_B$. Let η^n be a sequence of smooth paths approximating η in q-variation norm, with $||\eta^n||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]} < C_B$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Denote Y_1^n resp Y_2^n be the classical BSDE solution with driving path η^n and data (ξ_1, f_1, g) resp. (ξ_2, f_2, g). Then by standard comparison theorem (for example, see [EPQ97])

$$Y_1^n \leq Y_2^n.$$

By continuity we know that

$$\|Y_1^n - Y_1\|_{p,2} + \|Y_2^n - Y_2\|_{p,2} \to 0.$$

In particular, almost surely,

$$||Y_1^n - Y_1||_\infty + ||Y_2^n - Y_2||_\infty \to 0.$$

Hence $Y_1 \leq Y_2$.

Unique existence on arbitrary time interval
We show existence for arbitrary $T > 0$. Denote

$$\overline{\xi} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_\omega \xi,\ \underline{\xi} := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_\omega \xi,\ \overline{f} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_\omega f,\ \underline{f} := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_\omega f.$$

By assumption

$$|\overline{\xi}| + |\underline{\xi}| + \int_0^T [|\overline{f}|^2 + |\underline{f}|^2](t,0,0)dt < \infty.$$

Consider the following Young ODEs:

$$\overline{Y}_t = \overline{\xi} + \int_t^T \overline{f}(s, \overline{Y}_s, 0) + \int_t^T g(\overline{Y}_s)d\eta_s;$$
$$\underline{Y}_t = \underline{\xi} + \int_t^T \underline{f}(s, \overline{Y}_s, 0) + \int_t^T g(\underline{Y}_s)d\eta_s.$$

Note that $(\overline{Y}, 0)$ and $(\underline{Y}, 0)$ solve the following BSDEs respectively:

$$\overline{Y}_t = \overline{\xi} + \int_t^T \overline{f}(s, \overline{Y}_s, \overline{Z}_s) + \int_t^T g(\overline{Y}_s)d\eta_s - \int_t^T \overline{Z}_s dW_s;$$
$$\underline{Y}_t = \underline{\xi} + \int_t^T \underline{f}(s, \underline{Y}_s, \underline{Z}_s) + \int_t^T g(\underline{Y}_s)d\eta_s - \int_t^T \underline{Z}_s dW_s.$$

Choose δ such that the BSDE (2) is wellposed on a time interval of length δ whenever the terminal condition is bounded by $\|\overline{Y}\|_\infty \vee \|\underline{Y}\|_\infty$. Let π: $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be a partition such that $t_{i+1} - t_i \leq \delta$ for all i. First, by the preceeding arguments, BSDE (2) on $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$ with terminal condition ξ is wellposed and we denote the solution by (Y^n, Z^n). By comparison we have $\underline{Y}_{t_{n-1}} \leq Y^n_{t_{n-1}} \leq \overline{Y}_{t_{n-1}}$. We can hence start again the BSDE from $Y_{t_{n-1}}$ at time t_{n-1}

and solve back to time t_{n-2}. Repeating the arguments backwardly we obtain the existence of a (unique) solution on $[0,T]$.

Continuity

Using the previous step we can use the continuity result on small intervals to get continuity of the solution map on arbitrary intervals.

We finish by showing the second continuity statement. Since the $d\eta$-term is more difficult then the dt-term we will assume $f \equiv 0$ for ease of presentation. First note that since the $||\xi||_\infty, ||\xi'||_\infty < M$, the local uniform continuity of the solution map in Theorem 2 we get

$$\|Y^n\|_{p,2} \leq C_0(M).$$

Let

$$\alpha_r := \int_0^1 \partial_y g(\theta Y_r + (1-\theta)Y'_r)d\theta.$$

Note that

$$||\alpha||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} \leq C_1(M)\Big(||Y||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]} + ||Y'||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}\Big)$$

So that

$$\mathbb{E}_t[||\alpha||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}] \leq C_2(M),$$

for some constant $C_2(M)$. Let $\Delta Y := Y - Y'$. Then (almost surely)

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Delta Y||_{\infty;[t,T]} &\leq ||Y||_{\infty;[t,T]} + ||Y'||_{\infty;[t,T]} \\ &\leq \|Y\|_{p,2} + \|Y'\|_{p,2} \\ &\leq 2C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$d\Delta Y_t = -\alpha_t \Delta Y_t d\eta_t + \Delta Z_t dW_t,$$

By Ito's formula, together with the classical product rule for the $d\eta$-term, we get

$$d[\exp(\int_0^t \alpha_r d\eta_r)\Delta Y_t] = \exp(\int_0^t \alpha_r d\eta_r)\Delta Z_t dW_t,$$

so that if the latter is an honest martingale we get

$$|\Delta Y_0| = |\mathbb{E}[\exp(\int_0^T \alpha_r d\eta_r)\Delta Y_T]| \leq \mathbb{E}[\exp(2\int_0^T \alpha_r d\eta_r)]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}[(\Delta Y_T)^2]^{1/2}.$$

Let us calculate the conditional moments of $\Gamma_t := \int_t^T \alpha_r d\eta_r$. First

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t\left[||\Gamma||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}\right] &\leq c_{Young}||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}\mathbb{E}_t\left[||\alpha||_{\text{p-var};[t,T}\right] \\ &\leq c_{Young}||\eta||_{q;[t,T]}C_2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by the product rule,

$$(\Gamma_t)^{m+1} = (m+1)\int_t^T \Gamma_r^m \alpha_r d\eta_r,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_t[||(\Gamma)^{m+1}||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}] \\
&\leq c_{Young}(m+1)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}\mathbb{E}_t\Big[||\Gamma^m||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}||\alpha||_{\infty;[t,T]} + ||\Gamma^m||_{\infty;[t,T]}||\alpha||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}\Big] \\
&\leq c_{Young}(m+1)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}\Big(\mathbb{E}_t[||\Gamma^m||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]||g'||_\infty + \sup_{s\in[t,T]}\mathbb{E}_s[||\Gamma^m||_{\text{p-var};[s,T]}]\mathbb{E}_t[||\alpha||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]\Big) \\
&\leq c_{Young}(m+1)||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}\Big(\mathbb{E}_t[||\Gamma^m||_{\text{p-var};[t,T]}]||g'||_\infty + \sup_{s\in[t,T]}\mathbb{E}_s[||\Gamma^m||_{\text{p-var};[s,T]}]C_2\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating, we get that for some $C_3(M) > 0$

$$\sup_{t\leq T}\mathbb{E}_t[||(\Gamma)^m||_{\text{q-var};[t,T]}] \leq m!C_3(M)^m.$$

In particular, for every $t \leq T$

$$\mathbb{E}[(\Gamma_t)^m] \leq m!C_3(M)^m.$$

So there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\varepsilon|\int_t^T \alpha_r \Delta Y_r d\eta_r|^2)] < C_3(M)$$

In particular for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\int_t^T \alpha_r \Delta Y_r d\eta_r|)] < C_4(c, M).$$

So the statement follows with $C(M) = C_4(2, M)$ if

$$\int \exp(\int_0^t \alpha_r d\eta_r)\Delta Z_t dW_t,$$

is an honest martingale. But this follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \exp(2\int_0^t \alpha_r d\eta_r)|\Delta Z_t|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{t\leq T}\exp(2\int_0^t \alpha_r d\eta_r)\int_0^T |\Delta Z_t|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T}\exp(4\int_0^t \alpha_r d\eta_r)\right]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\Delta Z_t|^2 dt\right] \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\int_0^t \alpha_r \Delta Y_r d\eta_r)\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\int_0^T \alpha_r \Delta Y_r d\eta_r)\exp(-\int_t^T \alpha_r \Delta Y_r d\eta_r)\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(2|\int_0^T \alpha_r \Delta Y_r d\eta_r|)\right]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(2|\int_t^T \alpha_r \Delta Y_r d\eta_r|)\right]^{1/2} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Application to rough PDEs

It is well-known that BSDEs provide a stochastic representation for solutions to semi-linear parabolic partial differential equations (PDEs), in what is sometimes called the "nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula" [PP92]. In this section we show how to use BSDEs with Young drift for the stochastic representation for PDEs of the form

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[\sigma(x)\sigma^T(x)D^2u^n] + b(x)\cdot Du + f(t,u,\sigma(x)^T Du) + g(u)\dot\eta_t.$$

Here η has finite q-variation, with $q\in[1,2)$ and the last term is hence not well-defined. There are several approaches to make sense of such a "rough" PDE. Here we shall define the solution as the limit of solutions to smooth approximations, see Theorem 5 below.

For $D=[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m$ or $D=\mathbb{R}^m$ we shall need the space $\mathrm{BC}(D)$ (resp. $\mathrm{BUC}(D)$) of bounded continous (resp. uniformly continous functions) on D.

Let us recall the nonlinear Feyman-Kac formula for standard PDEs.

Theorem 4 ([PP92, Section 4])**.** *Let $h\in\mathrm{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $f(t,y,z):[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}$ bounded and Lipschitz in y,z uniformly in t,x, $\sigma:\mathbb{R}^m\to L(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^m)$ Lipschitz, $b:\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}^m$ Lipschitz and $g_1,\dots,g_e\in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and let η be a smooth path. For every $s\in[0,T], x\in\mathbb{R}^m$ let $X^{s,x}$ be the solution to the SDE*

$$dX_t^{s,x} = \sigma(X_t^{s,x})dW_t + b(X_t^{s,x})dt \qquad X_s^{s,x} = x$$

and $Y^{s,x}$ the solution to the BSDE

$$dY_t^{s,x} = f(t,Y_t^{s,x},Z_t^{s,x})dt + g(Y_t^{s,x})d\eta_t - Z_t^{s,x}dW_t \qquad Y_T^{s,x} = h(X_T^{s,x}).$$

Then $u(t,x) := Y_t^{t,x}$ is the unique viscosity solution in $\mathrm{BUC}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m)$ to the PDE

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u &= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[\sigma(x)\sigma^T(x)D^2u^n] + b(x)\cdot Du + f(t,u,\sigma(x)^T Du) + g(u)\dot\eta_t\\ u|_T &= h.\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem extends this representation property to BSDEs with Young drift.

Theorem 5. *Let $\eta\in C^{0,\text{q-var}}$, $q\in[1,2)$ and let η^n smooth be given such that $\eta^n\to\eta$ in $C^{0,\text{q-var}}$. Let $f(t,y,z):[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}$ bounded and Lipschitz in y,z uniformly in t,x, $\sigma:\mathbb{R}^m\to L(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^m)$ Lipschitz, $b:\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}^m$ Lipschitz and $g_1,\dots,g_e\in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

Let u^n be the unique $\mathrm{BUC}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m)$ viscosity solution to

$$\partial_t u^n = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[\sigma(x)\sigma^T(x)D^2u^n] + b(x)\cdot Du^n + f(t,u,\sigma(x)^T Du) + g(u)\dot\eta_t^n.$$

Then there exists $u\in\mathrm{BC}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $u^n\to u$ locally uniformly and the limit does not depend on the approximating sequence. Formally, u solves the PDE

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[\sigma(x)\sigma^T(x)D^2u^n] + b(x)\cdot Du + f(t,u,\sigma(x)^T Du) + g(u)\dot\eta_t.$$

Moreover $u(t,x)=Y_t^{t,x}$, where $X^{s,x}$ is the solution to the SDE

$$dX_t^{s,x} = \sigma(X_t^{s,x})dW_t + b(X_t^{s,x})dt \qquad X_s^{s,x} = x$$

and $Y^{s,x}$ the solution to the BSDE with Young drift

$$dY_t^{s,x} = ft,Y_t^{s,x},Z_t^{s,x})dt + g(Y_t^{s,x})d\eta_t - Z_t^{s,x}dW_t \qquad Y_T^{s,x} = h(X_T^{s,x}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4 we can write $u^n(t,x) = Y_t^{n,t,x}$ where

$$dX_t^{s,x} = \sigma(X_t^{s,x})dW_t + b(X_t^{s,x})dt,\ X_s^{s,x} = x$$

and $Y^{n,s,x}$ is the solution to the BSDE

$$dY_t^{n,s,x} = f(Y_t^{n,s,x}, Z_t^{n,s,x})dt + g(Y_t^{n,s,x})d\eta_t^n - Z_t^{n,s,x}dW_t,\ Y_T^{n,s,x} = h(X_T^{s,x}).$$

By Theorem 2 we have that for fixed s, x, $Y^{n,s,x} \to Y^{s,x}$ in $\mathcal{B}_p$, where $Y^{s,x}$ solves the corresponding BSDE with Young drift. In particular $Y_s^{n,s,x} \to Y_s^{s,x}$, and hence we get pointwise convergence of u^n. We now show that u^n is locally uniformly continuous in (t,x) uniformly in n. By Theorem 2 (iv), uniformly in n,

$$\begin{aligned}|Y_s^{n,s,x} - Y_s^{n,s,x'}| &\le C\mathbb{E}[|h(X_T^{s,x}) - h(X_T^{s,x'})|^2]^{1/2}\\ &\le C||Dh||_\infty \mathbb{E}[|X_T^{s,x} - X_T^{s,x'}|^2]^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim |x - x'|,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lipschitzness of the map $\mathbb{R}^m \ni x \mapsto X_T^{s,x} \in L^2(\Omega)$, see for example [Str82, Theorem 2.2]. Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$ small,

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{s+\delta}^{n,s+\delta,x} - Y_s^{n,s,x} &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{s+\delta}^{n,s+\delta,x} - Y_{s+\delta}^{n,s,x}] + \mathbb{E}[Y_{s+\delta}^{n,s,x} - Y_s^{n,s,x}]\\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{s+\delta}^{n,s+\delta,x} - Y_{s+\delta}^{n,s+\delta,X_{s+\delta}^{s,x}}] + \mathbb{E}[Y_{s+\delta}^{n,s,x} - Y_s^{n,s,x}]\\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E}[|x - X_{s+\delta}^{s,x}|^2]^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}[\int_s^{s+\delta} f(Y_r^{n,s,x}, Z_r^{n,s,x})dr + \int_s^{s+\delta} g(Y_r^{n,s,x})d\eta_r^n]\\ &\lesssim \delta^{1/2} + \delta||f||_\infty + ||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[s,s+\delta]}\mathbb{E}[\big(1 + ||Y^{n,s,x}||_{\text{p-var};[s,s+\delta]}\big)]\\ &\lesssim \delta^{1/2} + \delta||f||_\infty + ||\eta||_{\text{q-var};[s,s+\delta]},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the uniform boundedness of $\|Y^n\|_{p,2}$ in the last step (as in the proof of Theorem 2).

It follows that u^n is locally uniformly continuous in (t,x) uniformly in n. Hence u^n converges to u locally uniformly. □

Remark 6. *In the vain of* [DFS14] *one can also, under appropriate assumptions on the coefficients, verify that u solves an integral equation.*

4. Appendix - Young integration

For $p \ge 1$, V some Banach space, we denote by $C^{\text{p-var}} = C^{\text{p-var}}([0,T], V)$ the space of V-valued continuous paths X with finite p-variation

$$||X||_{\text{p-var}} := ||X||_{\text{p-var};[0,T]} := \left(\sup_\pi \sum_{[u,v]\in\pi} |X_{u,v}|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Here the supremum runs over all partitions of the interval $[0,T]$ and $X_{u,v} := X_v - X_u$.

We shall also need the space $C^{0,\text{p-var}} = C^{0,\text{p-var}}([0,T], V)$, defined as the closure of $C^\infty([0,T], V)$ under the norm $||\cdot||_{\text{p-var}}$. Obviously $C^{0,\text{p-var}} \subset C^{\text{p-var}}$, and the inclusion is strict [FV10, Section 5.3.3].

The following basic estimates can be found in [FV10, Chapter 5]

$$||Y||_\infty \leq |Y_T| + ||Y||_{\text{p-var}}, \qquad \forall p \geq 1$$
$$||Y||_{\text{p-var}} \leq ||Y||_{\text{q-var}}, \qquad \forall 1 \leq q \leq p.$$

The proof of the following result goes back to [You36]. A short modern proof can be found in [FH14, Chapter 4]. In this statement and in what follows $a \lesssim b$, means that there exists a constant $c > 0$, not depending on the paths under considerations, such that $a \leq cb$. The constant c can depend on the vector fields under considerations, the dimension and the time horizion T, but is bounded for T bounded.

Theorem 7 (Young integration)**.** *Let $X \in C^{\text{p-var}}([0,T], L(V,W))$, $Y \in C^{\text{q-var}}([0,T], W)$ with $1/p + 1/q > 1$. Then*

$$\int_0^T X_s dY_s := \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[u,v] \in \pi} X_u Y_{u,v}$$

exists, where the limit is taken over partitions of $[0,T]$ with meshsize going to 0. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} ||\int X_s dY_s||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]} &\lesssim (|X_0| + ||X||_{\text{p-var};[0,T]})||Y||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]} \\ &\lesssim (|X_T| + ||X||_{\text{p-var};[0,T]})||Y||_{\text{q-var};[0,T]}. \end{aligned}$$

We also need

Lemma 8. *Let $p \geq 1$, $g \in C_b^2$, $a, a' \in C^{\text{p-var}}$, then*

$$||g(a) - g(a')||_{\text{p-var}} \leq c||a - a'||_{\text{p-var}} + \left(||a||_{\text{p-var}} + ||a'||_{\text{p-var}}\right) ||a - a'||_\infty.$$

Proof. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &|g(a_t) - g(a'_t) - g(a_s) - g(a'_s)| \\ &= |\int_0^1 Dg(a'_t + \theta(a_t - a'_t))d\theta(a_t - a'_t) - \int_0^1 Dg(a'_s + \theta(a_s - a'_s))d\theta(a_s - a'_s)| \\ &\leq |\int_0^1 Dg(a'_t + \theta(a_t - a'_t)) - Dg(a'_s + \theta(a_s - a'_s))d\theta(a_t - a'_t)| \\ &\quad + |\int_0^1 Dg(a'_s + \theta(a_s - a'_s))d\theta(a_t - a'_t) - (a_s - a'_s)| \\ &\leq ||D^2 g||_\infty \left(|a'_t - a'_s| + |a_t - a_s|\right) |a_t - a'_t| + ||Dg||_\infty |(a_t - a'_t) - (a_s - a'_s)|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 9. *Let $p \geq 1$ and $a, b \in C^{\text{p-var}}$ then*

$$||ab||_{\text{p-var}} \lesssim ||a||_{\text{p-var}}||b||_\infty + ||a||_\infty ||b||_{\text{p-var}}$$

Proof. This follows from

$$|a_t b_t - a_s b_s| \leq |a_t - a_s|||b||_\infty + ||a||_\infty |b_t - b_s|.$$

□

References

[Bis73] Bismut, Jean-Michel. "Conjugate Convex Functions in Optimal Stochastic Control", J.Math. Anal. Apl. 44 (1973): 384-404.

[FV10] Friz, Peter K., and Nicolas B. Victoir. Multidimensional stochastic processes as rough paths: theory and applications. Vol. 120. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[FH14] Friz, Peter, and Martin Hairer. "A course on rough paths." Springer (2014).

[You36] L. C. Young. An inequality of the Höder type, connected with Stieltjes integration. Acta Math. 67, no. 1, (1936), 251282.

[GN08] Guerra, Joo, and David Nualart. "Stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion and standard Brownian motion." Stochastic Analysis and Applications 26.5 (2008): 1053-1075.

[Die12] Diehl, Joscha. Topics in stochastic differential equations and rough path theory, PhD thesis.

[CDF13] Crisan, Dan, et al. "Robust filtering: correlated noise and multidimensional observation." The Annals of Applied Probability 23.5 (2013): 2139-2160.

[DOR15] Diehl, Joscha, Harald Oberhauser, and Sebastian Riedel. "A Levy area between Brownian motion and rough paths with applications to robust nonlinear filtering and rough partial differential equations." Stochastic Processes and their Applications 125.1 (2015): 161-181.

[DFS14] Diehl, Joscha, Peter K. Friz, and Wilhelm Stannat. "Stochastic partial differential equations: a rough path view." arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6557 (2014).

[DF12] Diehl, Joscha, and Peter Friz. "Backward stochastic differential equations with rough drivers." The Annals of Probability 40.4 (2012): 1715-1758.

[EPQ97] El Karoui, Nicole, Shige Peng, and Marie-Claire Quenez. "Backward stochastic differential equations in finance." Math. Finance 7.1 (1997): 1-71.

[PP90] Pardoux, Etienne, and Shige Peng. "Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation." Systems & Control Letters 14.1 (1990): 55-61.

[PP92] Pardoux, Etienne, and Shige Peng. "Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations." Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1992. 200-217.

[Str82] Stroock, Daniel W., and Satyajit Karmakar. Lectures on topics in stochastic differential equations. Springer, 1982.

Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Leipzig.

E-mail address: diehl@mis.mpg.de

University of Southern California, Department of Mathematics.

E-mail address: jianfenz@usc.edu